Understanding Multiple Myeloma, Its Treatment, and New Discoveries: Part 2

Presented as a Live Webinar

Wednesday, August 19, 2015 1:00 p.m. – 2:00 p.m. EDT

On-demand Activity

Live webinar recorded and archived to be watched at your convenience Available after October 1, 2015

www.ashpadvantage.com/multmyeloma

ashp[®]Advantage

Planned by ASHP Advantage and supported by an educational grant from Onyx Pharmaceuticals Inc., a subsidiary of Amgen Inc.

Activity Overview

This educational activity will review the management of patients with multiple myeloma with refractory disease and how to apply supportive care strategies. New therapies in development that have the potential to radically change the treatment and clinical course of the disease will also be discussed. Patient case scenarios will be used to highlight decision points in managing patients with multiple myeloma.

Learning Objectives

At the conclusion of this application-based educational activity, participants should be able to

- Evaluate treatment regimens for patients with relapsed, refractory multiple myeloma.
- Illustrate strategies for addressing the supportive care needs of patients with multiple myeloma who experience adverse reactions or develop complications.
- Examine how current approaches to the treatment of multiple myeloma may evolve based on recent clinical trial data and new treatment discoveries.

List of Abbreviations

For a list of abbreviations used in the activity, please see pages 23-24.

Continuing Education Accreditation



ASHP is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education as a provider of continuing pharmacy education. This activity provides 1.0 hour (0.1 CEU – no partial credit) of continuing pharmacy education credit (ACPE activity #0204-0000-15-453-L01-P for the live activity and ACPE

activity #0204-0000-15-453-H01-P for the on-demand activity).

Participants will process CPE credit online at <u>http://elearning.ashp.org/my-activities</u>. CPE credit will be reported directly to CPE Monitor. Per ACPE, CPE credit must be claimed no later than 60 days from the date of the live activity or completion of a home-study activity.

Webinar Information

Visit www.ashpadvantage.com/multmyeloma to find

- Webinar registration link
- Group viewing information and technical requirements
- <u>CPE webinar processing information</u>

Additional Educational Activities

• On-demand activities based on Part 1 and Part 2 live webinars (1 hour CPE for each activity, available after October 1, 2015) – Please note that individuals who claim CPE credit for the live webinar are ineligible to claim credit for the on-demand activity

www.ashpadvantage.com/multmyeloma

Activity Faculty

Christopher A. Fausel, Pharm.D., M.H.A., BCOP

Clinical Manager, Oncology Pharmacy Indiana University Health Indianapolis, Indiana

Christopher A. Fausel, Pharm.D., M.H.A, BCOP, is Clinical Manager of Oncology Pharmacy at Indiana University Simon Cancer Center (IUSCC) in Indianapolis, Indiana. He oversees the clinical and dispensing pharmacy services at the IUSCC ambulatory infusion center and four satellite infusion clinics. Dr. Fausel also holds academic appointments at the Department of Medicine at Indiana University School of Medicine, Purdue University School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, and Butler University College of Pharmacy.

Dr. Fausel received his Bachelor of Science degree in pharmacy and Doctor of Pharmacy degree from Albany College of Pharmacy in Albany, New York. He completed an ASHP-accredited pharmacy practice residency at Samuel S. Stratton VA Medical Center in Albany, New York. More recently, he earned a Master of Health Administration degree from Simmons College in Boston, Massachusetts.

Dr. Fausel is the founding Residency Program Director for the postgraduate year two (PGY-2) oncology pharmacy residency at Indiana University Health. He chairs two Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) for Indiana University and serves on the IRB Executive Committee for the university.

Dr. Fausel is a board-certified oncology pharmacist, and he is certified in basic life support by the American Red Cross. He is Chairman of the Board of the Hoosier Cancer Research Network and a long-standing member of ASHP, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and Hematology/Oncology Pharmacy Association.

Understanding Multiple Myeloma, Its Treatment, and New Discoveries: Part 2

R. Donald Harvey, Pharm.D., FCCP, BCOP

Associate Professor, Hematology/Medical Oncology Emory University School of Medicine Director, Phase 1 Clinical Trials Section Winship Cancer Institute of Emory University Atlanta, Georgia

R. Donald Harvey, Pharm.D., FCCP, BCOP, is Associate Professor in the Department of Hematology and Medical Oncology at the Emory University School of Medicine in Atlanta, Georgia. He also is Director of the Phase 1 Clinical Trials Section at Winship Cancer Institute of Emory University. In addition, Dr. Harvey serves as Co-chair of the Data Safety and Monitoring Committee and as a Pharmacology representative on the Clinical and Translational Research Committee for the cancer center, as well as preceptor for the postgraduate year 2 (PGY-2) oncology residency at Emory University Hospital.

Dr. Harvey received his Bachelor of Science in Pharmacy and Doctor of Pharmacy degrees from the University of North Carolina (UNC) in Chapel Hill. He subsequently completed a pharmacy practice residency at the University of Kentucky Medical Center and College of Pharmacy and a hematology/oncology specialty residency at UNC Hospitals and School of Pharmacy.

Dr. Harvey is a board-certified oncology pharmacist and a fellow of the American College of Clinical Pharmacy. He has authored or co-authored over 60 peer-reviewed publications and is section editor for original research for the Journal of Hematology Oncology Pharmacy. He serves as a reviewer for the *British Journal of Cancer, Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis, Cancer, Annals of Oncology, Pharmacotherapy,* and *Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*. Dr. Harvey is a past president of the Hematology/Oncology Pharmacy Association (HOPA), and he now serves as Chair of the HOPA Research Foundation.

Disclosure Statement

In accordance with the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education's Standards for Commercial Support and the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education's Guidelines for Standards for Commercial Support, ASHP Advantage requires that all individuals involved in the development of activity content disclose their relevant financial relationships. A commercial interest is any entity producing, marketing, re-selling, or distributing health care goods or services consumed by, or used on, patients. A person has a relevant financial relationship if the individual or his or her spouse/partner has a financial relationship (e.g., employee, consultant, research grant recipient, speakers bureau, or stockholder) in any amount occurring in the last 12 months with a commercial interest whose products or services may be discussed in the educational activity content over which the individual has control. The existence of these relationships is provided for the information of participants and should not be assumed to have an adverse impact on presentations.

All faculty and planners for ASHP Advantage education activities are qualified and selected by ASHP Advantage and required to disclose any relevant financial relationships with commercial interests. ASHP Advantage identifies and resolves conflicts of interest prior to an individual's participation in development of content for an educational activity.

- R. Donald Harvey, Pharm.D., FCCP, BCOP, declares that he has served as an advisor for Bristol-Myers Squibb, Onyx Pharmaceuticals Inc., and Takeda Pharmaceuticals. He has also participated in research activities funded by Acetylon Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Bristol-Myers Squibb; Calithera Biosciences; Celgene Corporation; Cleave Biosciences; Novartis Pharmaceuticals; Onyx Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Sanofi; and Takeda Pharmaceuticals.
- All other faculty and planners report no financial relationships relevant to this activity.

Understanding Multiple Myeloma, Its Treatment, and New Discoveries: Part 2

R. Donald Harvey, Pharm.D., FCCP, BCOP Emory University School of Medicine Winship Cancer Institute of Emory University Atlanta, Georgia

Christopher A. Fausel, Pharm.D., M.H.A., BCOP Indiana University Simon Cancer Center Indiana University Health Indianapolis, Indiana



Learning Objectives

- Evaluate treatment regimens for patients with relapsed, refractory multiple myeloma
- Illustrate strategies for addressing the supportive care needs of patients with multiple myeloma who experience adverse reactions or develop complications
- Examine how current approaches to the treatment of multiple myeloma may evolve based on recent clinical trial data and new treatment discoveries

The First Webinar

- Background on clinical features of multiple myeloma
- Update on current therapies for initial treatment of multiple myeloma
- Tools to help develop an individualized therapeutic plan for patients from stateof-the-art clinical trial data



See enlargement p. 16



See enlargement p. 16





Carfilzomib differs from bortezomib because



- a. It can be given subcutaneously
- b. Neuropathy is less common
- c. Platelet counts are not affected
- d. It does not increase risk for herpes infections

Patient Case Scenario

- FR is a 67-year-old patient with myeloma diagnosed in 2011, who received bortezomib and dexamethasone induction for 8 cycles followed by autologous stem cell transplant (SCT) with high-dose melphalan
- She presents with relapsed disease following SCT, and treatment with carfilzomiblenalidomide-dexamethasone (CRd) is being considered

Which of the following considerations is important in FR for treatment selection with CRd?

a. Cardiac history

c. Neuropathy history

d. Mucositis with SCT

b. Bone disease



Considerations Single-agent versus combination therapies in relapsed/refractory disease Patient health may worsen with additional treatment and disease progression Performance status Comorbidities, treatment-emergent adverse events Combination trials of agents active in relapsed/refractory disease ongoing Carfilzomib + pomalidomide



See enlargement p. 17



See enlargement p. 17

| Parameter | Carfilzomib + Len + Dex | Len + Dex |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| PFS | 26.3 months | 17.6 months |
| OS at 24 months | 73.3% | 65% |
| RR | 87.1% | 66.7% |
| CR | 31.8% | 9.3% |
| Mean time to response | 1.6 months | 2.3 months |
| Median duration of response | 28.6 months | 21.2 months |

| Toxicity | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|--|--|
| Parameter (≥ Grade 3) | Carfilzomib + Len + Dex | Len + Dex | | |
| Diarrhea | 4% | 4% | | |
| Fatigue | 8% | 6% | | |
| Pyrexia | 2% | 1% | | |
| Upper respiratory infection | 2% | 1% | | |
| Hypokalemia | 9% | 5% | | |
| Muscle spasms | 1% | 1% | | |
| Dyspnea | 3% | 2% | | |
| Hypertension | 4% | 2% | | |
| Acute renal failure | 3% | 3% | | |
| Cardiac failure | 4% | 2% | | |
| Ischemic heart disease | 3% | 2% | | |
| Stewart AK et al. N Engl J Med. 2015; 372:142 | | | | |



See enlargement p. 18

| Parameter | Pom + Dex (n=302) | Dex (n=153) |
|-----------|----------------------|----------------|
| PFS | 4.0 months | 1.9 months |
| OS | 12.7 months | 8.1 months |
| TTP | 4.7 months | 2.1 months |
| ORR | 31% | 10% |
| CR/VGPR | 6% | <1% |

| Toxicity | | |
|---------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Toxicity | Pom + Dex (n=302) | Dex (n=153) |
| Neutropenia | 48% | 16% |
| Anemia | 33% | 37% |
| Thrombocytopenia | 22% | 26% |
| Leukopenia | 9% | 3% |
| Febrile neutropenia | 10% | 0% |
| Pneumonia | 13% | 8% |
| Infection | 30% | 24% |
| Bone pain | 7% | 5% |
| Dyspnea | 5% | 5% |











| Parameter | Panobinostat Arm | Control Arm |
|----------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| Median PES | 12 months | 8 months |
| 2-vear PFS | 20.6% | 8.4% |
| Median OS | 33.64 months | 30.39 months |
| RR | 60.7% | 54.6% |
| CR | 11% | 6% |
| Near CR | 17% | 10% |
| Median time to response | 1.51 months | 2 months |

| Parameter | Panobinostat Arm (n = 387) | Control Arm (n = 381) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Thrombocytopenia | 68% | 31% |
| Lymphopenia | 54% | 40% |
| Leukopenia | 24% | 8% |
| Neutropenia | 35% | 11% |
| Anemia | 18% | 19% |
| Diarrhea | 25% | 8% |
| Peripheral neuropathy | 18% | 15% |
| Asthenia/fatigue | 24% | 13% |
| Nausea | 6% | 2% |
| Vomiting | 8% | 1% |
| Pneumonia | 13% | 11% |



Panobinostat FDA Review

- Boxed warnings in package insert
 Severe diarrhea
 - Cardiac events (including fatalities)
- Myelosuppression/bleeding/hepatotoxicity
- Risk evaluation and mitigation strategy program requirement
- FDA action taken under the accelerated approval program and the drug is designated as an orphan drug
- Further trials are required to confirm clinical benefit

Food and Drug Administration. http://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/PressAnnouncements/ucm435296.htm (accessed 2015 Jul 27).



See enlargement p. 19

| Parameter | Elotuzumab (n=321) | Control (n=325) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| PFS – 1 year | 68% | 57% |
| PFS – 2 year | 41% | 27% |
| Median PFS | 19.4 months | 14.9 months |
| Overall RR | 79% | 66% |
| CR | 4% | 7% |
| VGPR | 28% | 21% |
| PR | 46% | 38% |
| Minimal response/stable disease | 16% | 27% |

| Parameter | Elotuzumab (n=318) | Control (n=317) |
|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Lymphocytopenia | 77% | 49% |
| Neutropenia | 34% | 44% |
| Anemia | 19% | 21% |
| Thrombocytopenia | 19% | 20% |
| Fatigue | 8% | 8% |
| Back pain | 5% | 4% |
| Diarrhea | 5% | 4% |
| Pyrexia | 3% | 3% |
| Insomnia | 2% | 3% |
| Peripheral edema | 1% | 1% |
| Constipation | 1% | 1% |

| Future Drug Targets in MM | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------------------|
| | Cell Surface Targets | Cytokines | BM Stroma | Adhesion Molecules |
| | CD38 | IL-6 | NF-κB | ICAM-1 |
| | FGFR3 | IGF-1 | Smad | VCAM-1 |
| | SLAMF7 (CS1) | SDF-1α | ERK | Fibronectin |
| | BAFF-R | BAFF | C-Myc | LFA-1 |
| | VEGFR | APRIL | PIM Kinase | MUC-1 |
| | | BSF-3 | | VLA-4 |
| BSF-3 VLA-4 FGFR = fibroblast growth factor receptor; BAFF-R = B-cell activating receptor; VEGFR vascular endothelial growth factor receptor; IL = interleukin; IGF = insulin-like growth factor; SDF = stroma cell-derived factor; APRIL = A Proliferation=inducing ligand; BSF B-cell stimulating factor; NF-kB = nuclear factor kB; ICAM = intercellular adhesion molecule; VCAM = vascular cell adhesion molecule; LFA = lymphocyte function-associated antigen; MUC = mucin; VLA = very late antigen. Anderson KC. J Clin Oncol. 2012; 30:445-5 | | | | |



Patient Case Scenario

- FR receives her first 2 cycles of CRd (carfilzomib-lenalidomide-dexamethasone) without complications
- During her 3rd cycle, however, she is admitted for febrile neutropenia and develops renal insufficiency following IV contrast
- Her creatinine clearance is now 25 mL/min, down from 65 mL/min

How should CRd in FR be approached?

- a. The regimen should be discontinued
- b. Her carfilzomib should be reduced
- c. Her lenalidomide should be reduced
- d. No dose changes to the regimen

Supportive Care

The correct pairing of drug with adverse event is

- a. Pomalidomide causes renal dysfunction
- b. Carfilzomib causes thromboses
- c. Bortezomib increases risk of cardiac dysfunction
- d. Lenalidomide causes neutropenia

| Toxicities and Complications | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| Drug | Toxicity | Management | | |
| Thalidomide, lenalidomide, pomalidomide | Venous thromboembolism (VTE) | Anticoagulation prophylaxis when combined with corticosteroids | | |
| Lenalidomide | Renal dysfunction (needs dose adjustment to prevent neutropenia and thrombocytopenia) | Adjust dose per prescribing information or avoid until renal function normalizes | | |
| Bortezomib | Peripheral neuropathy | Administer weekly, subcutaneous preferred over IV; carfilzomib has much lower rates of neuropathy | | |
| Bortezomib, Carfilzomib | Herpes zoster reactivation | Acyclovir or valacyclovir prophylaxis | | |
| Carfilzomih | Cardiac complications | Start at lower dose then titrate up | | |
| Garnizoffilb | Nephrotoxicity | Hydration | | |
| Corticosteroids | Hyperglycemia | Weekly corticosteroids preferred; sliding scale insulin and close monitoring in diabetic patients | | |

See enlargement p. 19

| Adjusting Therapy for End-Organ Dysfunction | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Drug | Primary Route of Metabolism | Recommendations for Dosage Modification | | |
| Melphalan | Hydrolysis | Yes – reduce dose in hematopoietic SCT conditioning to 140 mg/m ² | | |
| Thalidomide | Renal | None | | |
| Lenalidomide | Renal | Adjust dose with CrCl <60 mL/min | | |
| Pomalidomide | Hepatic | None (ongoing study in renal dysfunction) | | |
| Bortezomib | Hepatic | Yes – reduce for elevated bilirubin | | |
| Carfilzomib | Peptidase cleavage and epoxide hydrolysis | None | | |
| Bisphosphonates | Renal | Yes – reduce for renal impairment | | |
| CrCl = creatinine cle | CrCl = creatinine clearance | | | |

See enlargement p. 20



Renal Impairment (IMWG)

Estimated GFR using modification of diet in renal disease equation

- RIFLE and Acute Renal Injury Network Criteria

Bortezomib-dexamethasone to rapidly reverse

Lenalidomide may be used if dose adjusted for

Rapid intervention to reverse renal damage

See enlargement p. 20



Skeletal Related Events

- Hypercalcemia of malignancy
- Pathologic fracture
- Bone pain
- Spinal cord compression
- · Radiation therapy to the bone
- Bone surgery

• Melphalan 140 mg/m² for CrCl <60 mL/min

disease-induced nephropathy

GFR = glomerular filtration rate

GFR

Acute renal injury

RIFLE = risk, injury, failure, loss, and end-stage kidney disease IMWG = International Myeloma Working Group

IMWG = International Myeloma Working Group Dimopoulos MA et al. J Clin Oncol. 2010; 28:4976-84.

Body JJ. Support Care Cancer. 2006;14:408-18.

Bone Disease (IMWG)

- Summary and comparison of existing guidelines (NCCN, ESMO, ASCO, Mayo, EMN)
- Compiled clinical trial data through August 2012
- Used level and grade of evidence convention to characterize recommendations
- Provide specific recommendation for bisphosphonates (BPs), surgery, and radiation

ESMO = European Society for Medical Oncology ASCO = American Society of Clinical Oncology EMN = European Myeloma Network

Terpos E et al. J Clin Oncol. 2013; 31:2347-57

Bisphosphonate Recommendations

| Patient Population | Recommendation | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|
| MM patients with detectable osteolytic lesions by conventional radiography receiving antimyeloma therapy | Pamidronate or zoledronic acid | |
| Low-and intermediate-risk asymptomatic MM if osteoporosis documented | BP recommended | |
| Osteoporosis in MGUS | BP recommended | |
| Solitary lytic lesion and no evidence of osteoporosis | No BP therapy | |
| Patients with solitary plasmacytoma | No BP therapy | |
| Terpos E et al. J Clin Oncol. 2013: 31:2347-5 | | |

Bisphosphonate Recommendations

- Pamidronate 30 and 90 mg have shown comparable efficacy in preventing skeletal-related events
 - IV is the preferred route of administration given at 3- to 4week intervals
- Optimal duration for zoledronic acid is at least 2 years
- For patients not achieving CR or VGPR, pamidronate may be continued at prescriber discretion
- For patients in CR or VGPR, optimal duration ranges from 12 to 24 months
- Calcium and vitamin D3 supplementation should be used

Terpos E et al. J Clin Oncol. 2013; 31:2347-57.

Osteonecrosis of the Jaw

- Patients should be educated and receive a comprehensive dental examination
- Existing dental conditions should be treated before initiating BP therapy
- Following BP initiation, unnecessary dental procedures should be avoided with dental health evaluated annually
- Temporary suspension of BP therapy for 90 days before and after invasive dental work

Terpos E et al. J Clin Oncol. 2013; 31:2347-57.

Denosumab in Myeloma

- Treatment of myeloma bone involvement not recommended
- · Hypercalcemia and renal impairment
 - Denosumab pharmacokinetics not affected by renal impairment
 - Bisphosphonate refractory hypercalcemia
 - Risk of hypocalcemia
 - Optimal dosing: 120 mg vs. 60 mg vs. 3 mg/kg?

Henry DH et al. J Clin Oncol. 2011;29:1125-32. Cicci JD et al. Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk. 2014; 14:e207-11. Hu MI et al. J Clin Endocinol Metab. 2014; 99:3144-52.



| iventor | ry-Sh | ort Form "av | verage pain" | 0-10 scale |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| | | Efficacy of | Duloxetine | |
| | | Duloxetine | Placebo | P Value |
| Mea Decrea Pai | an Ise in N | 1.06 (95% CI, 0.72-1.40) | 0.34 (95% CI, 0.01-0.66) | <i>P</i> =.003 |



Optimal thromboprophylaxis in patients without cardiac history treated with immunomodulators is

- a. Full-dose rivaroxaban
- b. Mini-dose warfarin
- c. Low-dose aspirin
- d. Full-dose low molecular weight heparin (LMWH)

Baseline Risk for VTE

| Parameter | VTE Rate |
|--|--|
| MGUS | 6.1% |
| MM | 3 – 10% |
| Dexamethasone induction | 3 – 4% |
| Thalidomide-dexamethasone (high-dose) induction | 14 – 26% |
| Lenalidomide-dexamethasone (high-dose) induction | 26% |
| Lenalidomide-dexamethasone (low-dose) induction | 12% |
| Srkalovic G et a Sallah S et al. , Rajkumar SV et al. , Rajkumar SV et al. , <i>L</i> a | I. Cancer. 2004; 101:558-6 Ann Oncol. 2004; 15:1490 I Clin Oncol. 2006; 24:431 ancet Oncol. 2010; 11:29-3 |

| Treatment Arm | VTE Rate During First 6-Month Observation | Cumulative Proportion of VTE Events at 12 Months | Major Bleeding |
|---|--|---|-------------------|
| Aspirin 100 mg/day (n=176) | 2.27% | 2.3% | 0 |
| Low molecular weight heparin 40 mg/day (n=166) | 1.2% | 1.8% | 0 |
| P value | 0.452 | NR | NA |

Prophylaxis for
Thalidomide-DexamethasoneParametersAspirin
(100 mg/day)
(n=220)Warfarin
(1.25 mg/day)
(n=220)LMWH
(40 mg/day)
(n=219)

Conclusion

- Patients with relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma should have treatment selected based on prior therapies and goals of treatment
- Adverse events of these treatments may be specific to agent class (e.g., thrombotic events) or more broad (e.g., cytopenias) and should be assessed prior to beginning treatment
- Investigational agents with novel targets will continue to improve patient outcomes

Key References

- Nooka AK, Kastritis E, Dimopoulos MA, Lonial S. Treatment options for relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma. *Blood.* 2015; 125:3085-99.
- Varga C, Laubach J, Hideshima T et al. Novel targeted agents in the treatment of multiple myeloma. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am.* 2014; 28:903-25.
- Raje NS, Yee AJ, Roodman GD. Advances in supportive care for multiple myeloma. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw.* 2014; 12:502-11.

Questions?















| Toxicities and Complications | | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| Drug | Toxicity | Management | |
| Thalidomide, lenalidomide, pomalidomide | Venous thromboembolism (VTE) | Anticoagulation prophylaxis when combined with corticosteroids | |
| Lenalidomide | Renal dysfunction (needs dose adjustment to prevent neutropenia and thrombocytopenia) | Adjust dose per prescribing information or avoid until renal function normalizes | |
| Bortezomib | Peripheral neuropathy | Administer weekly, subcutaneous preferred over IV; carfilzomib has much lower rates of neuropathy | |
| Bortezomib, Carfilzomib | Herpes zoster reactivation | Acyclovir or valacyclovir prophylaxis | |
| Carfilzomib | Cardiac complications | Start at lower dose then titrate up | |
| | Nephrotoxicity | Hydration | |
| Corticosteroids | Hyperglycemia | Weekly corticosteroids preferred; sliding scale insulin and close monitoring in diabetic patients | |

| Adjusting Therapy for End-Organ Dysfunction | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Drug | Primary Route of Metabolism | Recommendations for Dosage Modification | |
| Melphalan | Hydrolysis | Yes – reduce dose in hematopoietic SCT conditioning to 140 mg/m ² | |
| Thalidomide | Renal | None | |
| Lenalidomide | Renal | Adjust dose with CrCl <60 mL/min | |
| Pomalidomide | Hepatic | None (ongoing study in renal dysfunction) | |
| Bortezomib | Hepatic | Yes – reduce for elevated bilirubin | |
| Carfilzomib | Peptidase cleavage and epoxide hydrolysis | None | |
| Bisphosphonates | Renal | Yes – reduce for renal impairment | |
| CrCl = creatinine cle | arance | | |



Self-assessment Questions

These questions will be discussed during the activity. Record the answers here for your future reference.

- 1. Carfilzomib differs from bortezomib because
 - a. It can be given subcutaneously
 - b. Neuropathy is less common
 - c. Platelet counts are not affected
 - d. It does not increase risk for herpes infections

Questions 2 and 4 refer to the following patient case scenario.

FR is a 67-year-old patient with myeloma diagnosed in 2011, who received bortezomib and dexamethasone induction for 8 cycles followed by autologous stem cell transplant (SCT) with high-dose melphalan. She presents with relapsed disease following SCT, and treatment with carfilzomib-lenalidomide-dexamethasone (CRd) is being considered.

- 2. Which of the following considerations is important in FR for treatment selection with CRd?
 - a. Cardiac history
 - b. Bone disease
 - c. Neuropathy history
 - d. Mucositis with SCT
- 3. Adverse events attributable to panobinostat include
 - a. Fatigue, thrombocytopenia, diarrhea
 - b. Neuropathy, fever, dyspnea
 - c. Hypertension, neutropenia, rash
 - d. Thromboses, infections, hypocalcemia
- 4. FR receives her first 2 cycles of CRd (carfilzomib-lenalidomide-dexamethasone) without complications. During her third cycle, however, she is admitted for febrile neutropenia and develops renal insufficiency following IV contrast. Her creatinine clearance is now 25 mL/min, down from 65 mL/min. How should CRd in FR be approached?
 - a. The regimen should be discontinued
 - b. Her carfilzomib should be reduced
 - c. Her lenalidomide should be reduced
 - d. No dose changes to the regimen
- 5. The correct pairing of drug with adverse event is
 - a. Pomalidomide causes renal dysfunction
 - b. Carfilzomib causes thromboses
 - c. Bortezomib increases risk of cardiac dysfunction
 - d. Lenalidomide causes neutropenia

Understanding Multiple Myeloma, Its Treatment, and New Discoveries: Part 2

- 6. Optimal thromboprophylaxis in patients without cardiac history treated with immunomodulators is
 - a. Full-dose rivaroxaban
 - b. Mini-dose warfarin
 - c. Low-dose aspirin
 - d. Full-dose low molecular weight heparin (LMWH)

List of Abbreviations Used in Presentation

| APRIL | a proliferation-inducing ligand |
|--------|--|
| ASCO | American Society of Clinical Oncology |
| ASCT | autologous stem cell transplant |
| BAFF-R | B-cell activating receptor |
| BM | bone marrow |
| BP | bisphosphonate |
| BSF | B-cell stimulating factor |
| CBC | complete blood count |
| CI | confidence interval |
| CR | complete response |
| CRAB | hyperCalcemia, Renal disease, Anemia, Bone disease |
| CrCl | creatinine clearance |
| CRd | carfilzomib-lenalidomide-dexamethasone |
| СТ | computed tomography |
| CVAD | cyclophosphamide-vincristine-doxorubicin-dexame thas one |
| CyBorD | cyclophosphamide-bortezomib-dexamethasone |
| EMD | similar extramedullary disease |
| EMN | European Myeloma Network |
| ESMO | European Society for Medical Oncology |
| FDA | Food and Drug Administration |
| FGFR | fibroblast growth factor receptor |
| GFR | glomerular filtration rate |
| ICAM | intercellular adhesion molecule |
| IGF | insulin-like growth factor |
| IL | interleukin |
| IMiD | immunomodulatory drug |
| IMWG | International Myeloma Working Group |
| IV | intravenous |
| KRd | carfilzomib-lenalidomide-dexamethasone |
| LFA | lymphocyte function-associated antigen |
| LMWH | low molecular weight heparin |
| MGUS | monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance |
| MM | multiple myeloma |
| MRI | magnetic resonance imaging |

Understanding Multiple Myeloma, Its Treatment, and New Discoveries: Part 2

| MUC | mucin |
|----------|--|
| NCCN | National Comprehensive Cancer Network |
| NF-kB | nuclear factor kB |
| NSAIDs | nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs |
| ORR | overall response rate |
| OS | overall survival |
| PCD | pomalidomide-carfilzomib-dexamethasone |
| PCL | plasma cell leukemia |
| PET | positron emission tomography |
| PFS | progression free survival |
| РО | by mouth |
| PR | partial response |
| PVD | pomalidomide-bortezomib-dexamethasone |
| Rd | lenalidomide-dexamethasone |
| RIFLE | risk, injury, failure, loss, and end-stage kidney disease |
| RR | response rate |
| RVD | bortezomib-lenalidomide-dexamethasone. |
| SCT | stem cell transplant |
| SDF | stroma cell-derived factor |
| TTP | time to progression |
| VCAM | vascular cell adhesion molecule |
| VDT-PACE | bortezom ib-dexame thas one-thal idom ide-cisplat in-dox or ubic in-cyclophosphamide-etoposide |
| VEGFR | vascular endothelial growth factor receptor |
| VGPR | very good partial response |
| VLA | very late antigen |
| VZV | varicella-zoster virus |